UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,730	01/20/2005	Robert John Noel	MCA-609 US	2258
	7590 07/13/201 ORPORATION	EXAMINER		
290 CONCORI	O ROAD	SAUNDERS, DAVID A		
BILLERICA, M	IA 01821		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/521,730	NOEL, ROBERT JOHN		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David A. Saunders	1644		

	David A. Saunders	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount or shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			oadoo
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rais	otod claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		cied ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		impliant / timoriamont (1	102 02 1).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		l be entered and an ex	planation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>15,16 and 18</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,7-14 and 19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/David A Saunders/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1644	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has presented an amendment after FINAL that extensively narrows the scope of previously presented claims 12-15. This extensive narrowing is inappropriate at this extremely late stage of prosecution for the following reasons: 1) the changes should have been presented earlier. 2) the nature of claim 12 has been completely changed so that it pertains not to a feature of the charge density but, rather, of providing sulfopropyl groups; this change would require a new consideration of the art that has been cited, of all the art of record, and possibly additional art. 3) Even if the limitations entered into dependent claims 12 and 15 may have come from one or more of the claims depending from claim 1, the Office sees no reason to consider the amendment, since they were not from any claims that depended from either claims 12 or 15; since these limitations were not in any claims depending from claims 12 or 15; it would require an undue amount of consideration to determine whether the changes are consistent with the embodiments of claims 12 and 15, as well as whether the changes would overcome the prior art that had been applied against claim 12. 4) Claims 12 and 15 have been so extensively narrowed that these and all dependent claims would need to be checked for claim duplication, as well as for any lack of antecedent basis in the dependent claims. 5) Claims 15,16 and 18 were indicated as allowable in the FINAL rejection of 3/19/10; thus the Office shall not consider any amendment to claim 15.